Studies on the X-ray- and solution structure of FeoB from *Escherichia coli* BL21

G. Hagelueken¹,*, J. Hofmann², E. Schubert¹, F.G. Duthie¹, N. Florin¹, L. Konrad¹, D. Imhof³,
E. Behrmann⁴, N. Morgner², & O. Schiemann¹

Running title: X-ray and solution structure of FeoB
Abstract
The ferrous iron transporter FeoB is an important factor in the iron metabolism of many bacteria. Although several structural studies have been performed on its cytosolic GTPase domain (NFeoB), the full-length structure of FeoB remains elusive. Based on a crystal packing analysis that was performed on crystals of NFeoB, a trimeric structure of the FeoB channel was proposed, where the transport pore runs along the trimer axis. Because this trimer has not been observed in some subsequently solved structures of NFeoB homologs, it remains unclear whether or not the trimer is indeed functionally relevant. Here, PELDOR spectroscopy, negative stain electron microscopy and native mass spectrometry are used to analyse the oligomeric state of different soluble and full-length FeoB constructs. The results show that the full-length protein is predominantly monomeric, while dimers and trimers are formed to a small percentage. Further, the solution structure of the switch I region is analysed by PELDOR spectroscopy and a new crystal structure of NFeoB from Escherichia coli BL21 is presented.
**Introduction**

Judged by its mass, iron is the most common element on earth and the fourth most common element in the earth’s crust. Iron plays a vital role in many cellular processes, for example electron transport or catalysis. However, the acquisition of iron poses a problem for living cells, because its most abundant ferric form (Fe$^{3+}$) is almost insoluble under aerobic conditions and at neutral pH. This is especially problematic for organisms such as bacteria, which are confined to very small environments and therefore have to cope with whatever nutrients can be found in their immediate vicinity. As a consequence, bacteria have evolved sophisticated iron scavenging systems, such as iron chelating compounds (siderophores) and dedicated transporters that import the chelated iron into the cell (1). Under anaerobic conditions, the soluble ferrous form of iron (Fe$^{2+}$) prevails and accordingly, different types of transporters are employed to import this form of iron. A well-known example for such transporters is the 85 kDa ferrous iron transporter FeoB (2). The two-domain protein contains a transmembrane (TM) domain with 7 predicted TM helices and a 30 kDa cytoplasmic domain, which has been termed $\tilde{\text{N}}$FeoB (as in N-terminal domain of FeoB) (Figure 1A). NFeoB can again be split into two subdomains, a five-helical bundle and a GTPase domain (3).

Several crystal structures of NFeoB either with or without its nucleotide cofactor have been determined (3-8). These structures have provided valuable structural information, for example concerning the molecular details of the GTPase mechanism (5, 6). However, to truly understand the transport mechanism of FeoB, structural information concerning the missing transmembrane domains is needed. In the initial crystal structure of NFeoB (PDB-ID: 3HYT (3)), a trimeric arrangement of the cytosolic domain in the crystal has been observed. It was hypothesised that this arrangement could also be present in the full-length protein, suggesting a transport pore that runs along the trimer axis (3) (Figure 1B). But, in some subsequently solved crystal structures this arrangement has not been observed (8-10), casting some doubt on the trimer hypothesis. Clearly, only structural information for the whole channel can clarify this matter. Here, the oligomeric states of NFeoB and full-length FeoB are analysed by a combination of X-ray crystallography, PELDOR (pulsed electron double resonance) spectroscopy, negative stain EM (electron microscopy) and mass spectrometry, leading to new insights into the oligomeric state of the protein.
Methods

Protein production and spin labelling

FeoB constructs were cloned, expressed and purified as previously reported (11). Reconstitution in CHAPSO/DMPC bicelles was performed as previously described (12). To obtain the apo form of NFeoB, any co-purified nucleotide was removed by ion exchange chromatography. MTSSL labelling was performed as in (13). The HO4120 spin labelling was performed according to (14). Spin labelling efficiencies of the K1 labelled PELDOR samples were determined by taking 10 µl samples from the PELDOR tubes and recording room temperature X-band cw-EPR spectra on a Bruker EMX-Micro EPR spectrometer equipped with a 4119HS resonator. The samples were measured at microwave frequency of 9.851 GHz, a resolution of 10 points/Gauss, a microwave power of 2.8 mW, a modulation amplitude of 1 G, a time constant of 0.01 ms, a conversion time of 30.0 ms. The spectra (see supplementary Figure 4) were double integrated using the spectrometer software and compared with HO4120 standards of the same concentration, which were dissolved in PELDOR buffer (100 mM TES pH 7.4, 100 mM NaCl, 0.024 % DDM, 50 % deuterated ethylene glycol in D$_2$O). To get accurate concentrations of the pAcF labelled protein by UV-Vis, the molar extinction coefficient of HO4120 labelled pAcF at 280 nm was experimentally determined (1680 M$^{-1}$cm$^{-1}$). The labelling degree varied considerably between batches of the same FeoB mutant, so that the labelling degree had to be determined for each individual PELDOR sample. The values for individual samples are given in the results section.

CD spectroscopy

Purified NFeoB wild-type and NFeoB$\Delta$Cys N32R1 K127R1 were dialysed against 100 mM NaH$_2$PO$_4$/Na$_2$HPO$_4$ pH 7.4, diluted to 0.04 mg/ml and filled into a quartz cuvette with 1 mm path length. CD spectra were recorded on a Jasco-810 spectrometer.

Crystallisation and structure solution of NFeoB

Purified NFeoB at ~10 mg/ml was used to setup crystallisation trials using the JCSG+ Screen (Molecular Dimensions) and 96 well MRC plates (Molecular Dimensions). For each drop, 0.5 µl of protein was mixed with 0.5 µl of reservoir solution. Initial hits were observed in PEG based conditions including MgCl$_2$. A stochastic optimisation led to final crystallisation conditions of: 26.9 % PEG 8000, 0.39 M MgCl$_2$, 0.1 M Tris-HCl pH8.0. The crystals were grown for several weeks at room temperature before harvesting. Prior to flash cooling in liquid nitrogen, the crystals were cryo-protected with 35 % glycerol. Data were collected at beamline
BL14.2 of BESSYII (Berlin, Germany), using a MarMOSAIC 225 CCD detector. The data were processed using XDS (15) as implemented in XDSAPP (16). Data collection and processing statistics are listed in Table 1. The structure of NFeoB was solved using PHASER (17) and PDB-ID 3I8X (8) as search model. The PHENIX suite (18) and COOT (19) were used to refine the structure. The geometry of the model was checked and optimised using MOLPROBITY (20).

**GTPase assay**

The GTPase activity of different FeoB constructs was assayed using a commercial malachite green assay (Bioassay Systems), according to (5). An experiment without GTP was used as a control and to determine the background of the GTPase assay.

**PELDOR spectroscopy**

For PELDOR experiments, the spin labelled proteins (typically at 10-25 µM) were dissolved in PELDOR buffer, transferred to a 3 mm quartz Q-band EPR tube and flash cooled in liquid nitrogen. The PELDOR spectra were recorded on a Bruker ELEXSYS E580 pulsed Q-band EPR spectrometer, with a ER 5106QT-2 Q-band resonator. The instrument was equipped with a continuous flow helium cryostat (CF935) and temperature control system (ITC 502), both from Oxford instruments. The second microwave frequency was coupled into the microwave bridge using a commercially available setup from Bruker. All pulses were amplified via a pulsed travelling wave tube (TWT) amplifier. PELDOR experiments were performed with the pulse sequence $\pi/2(\tau_A)-\tilde{U}-'(\tau_A)-(\tilde{U}+t)-'-(\tau_B)-(\tilde{U}t)-'-(\tau_A)-\tilde{U}$-echo. The detection pulses ($\tau_A$) were set to 12 ns for the $\pi/2$ and 24 ns for the $\pi$ pulses and applied at a frequency 80 MHz lower than the resonance frequency of the resonator. The pulse amplitudes were chosen to optimize the refocused echo. The $\pi/2$-pulse was phase-cycled to eliminate receiver offsets. The pump pulse ($\tau_B$) was set at the resonance frequency of the resonator and its optimal length (typically 12-16 ns) was determined using a transient nutation experiment for each sample. The field was adjusted such that the pump pulse is applied to the maximum of the nitroxide spectrum. The pulse amplitude was optimized to maximize the inversion of a Hahn-echo at the pump frequency. All PELDOR spectra were recorded at 50 K with an experiment repetition time of 1 ms, a video amplifier bandwidth of 20 MHz and an amplifier gain of 42 dB. $\tilde{U}$ was set to 260 ns and the maximum of $\tilde{U}$ was set to values ranging from 1000 to 5000 ns. Deuterium modulation was suppressed by addition of 8 spectra of variable $\tilde{U}$ with a $\Delta\tau$ of 16 ns. The obtained time traces were divided by a mono-exponential decay to eliminate intermolecular
contributions and renormalized. Distance distributions were obtained from the background corrected data by using the program DeerAnalysis2015 developed by Gunnar Jeschke (21). The PyMOL (www.pymol.org) plugin mtsslWizard was used to predict distance distributions (22).

Negative stain electron microscopy and estimation of size distribution

Full-length FeoB (0.7 mg/ml) in 3x CMC DDM buffer (100 mM TES pH 8.0, 150 mM NaCl, 0.024 % DDM) was freshly diluted in 1.5x CMC DDM buffer (100 mM TES pH 8.0, 100 mM NaCl, 0.012 % DDM), and 3.5 µl samples applied to freshly glow-discharged holey carbon grids covered with an additional thin carbon support film (R2/1 + 5nm carbon, Quantifoil). Grids were washed twice with detergent-free buffer to disrupt empty DDM micelles, and stained using uranyl formate (0.75% w/v) solution adjusted to pH 7.5 with sodium hydroxide. Electron micrographs were collected under minimal-dose conditions on a Jeol JEM2200 equipped with a TVIPS TemCam-F416 CMOS camera at a nominal magnification of 40,000. Micrographs were collected at an acceleration voltage of 200 kV with a defocus range of -1 to -5 µm. The pixel-size at the object plane corresponds to 2.9 Å/pixel. Defocus estimation was performed using CTER(23), and micrographs were pre-selected based on calculated defocus and astigmatism values. Strong heterogeneity precluded particle classification procedures. Thus the size distribution of individual particle images was estimated from line histograms after phase flipping to restore the actual object image.

Mass spectrometry

For LILBID-MS measurements the protein was buffer exchanged to 20 mM TRIS with 0.03 % DDM at pH 8.0, directly before the measurement. The buffer exchange took place in desalting columns (Zeba Micro Spin Desalting Columns, article number 89887) from Thermo Scientific (7 kDa cut-off). Alternatively, the sample was spun down at 12,000 g for 10 minutes and the supernatant was washed twice in 20 mM NH4HCO3 with 0.03% DDM at pH 8. The washing took place in centrifugal filters (Amicon Ultra - 0.5 mL, article number UFC503024) from Merck Millipore (30 kDa cutoff). In each case 5 µL of the sample was used per measurement. A piezo-driven droplet generator was used to generate LILBID-MS droplets (MD-K-130 by Microdrop Technologies GmbH, Norderstedt, Germany). This generator produces droplets of 50 µm diameter with a frequency of 10 Hz. The droplets were transferred to vacuum and irradiated by an IR laser at 2.94 µm, a vibrational absorption wavelength of water, which leads to the explosive expansion of the droplet. The released ions are accelerated by a pulsed electric field and the mass of the ions is analyzed by a homebuilt reflectron time-of-flight (TOF) setup.
The LILBID instrument was run at standard settings (24). For each spectrum, data from several hundred up to thousand droplets were averaged. Data processing was done using the software Massign (25).
Results

X-ray crystal structure of NFeoB from Escherichia coli BL21

NFeoB from E. coli BL21 was cloned, expressed and purified as previously reported (11). When purified from E. coli BL21, the protein contained a nucleotide, presumably GTP, which was removed during ion exchange chromatography (11). The purified protein crystallised in space group P6$_3$ at a concentration of ~ 10 mg/ml in 26.9 % PEG 8000, 0.39 M MgCl$_2$, 0.1 M Tris-HCl pH 8.0. The structure was solved at a resolution of 3.15 Å by molecular replacement. The structure of monomeric GDP-bound NFeoB was used as a search model (PDB-ID: 3I8X (8)). Figure 1BC show the overall structure of the protein. As observed previously, NFeoB forms as a trimer in the crystal. The refined structure aligns with an r.m.s.d of 0.38 Å (212 C$\alpha$-Atoms) with the search model. Presumably due to the absence of a nucleotide, the switch I region is observed in its opened conformation. Data collection and refinement statistics are compiled in Table 1.

Figure 1: Structure of NFeoB from E. coli BL21 and selection of labelling sites for PELDOR experiments. A) Sketch of the domain structure of the 85 kDa membrane protein FeoB. The position of the membrane is indicated. NFeoB (30 kDa) is the soluble cytosolic domain of FeoB and contains the GTPase activity. B) The crystallographic trimer of NFeoB from E. coli BL21 (this work) is shown as a cartoon model. The three chains are colored differently. C) Structure of an NFeoB monomer. The position of the switch I region (residues 25-40) is marked in its open (green arrow, this work) and closed state (red arrow, 3LX5). A black arrow marks the GTP binding pocket with GTP shown as spheres. D) Difference distance matrix (DDM) of the nucleotide-bound (3LX5) and –free structures (3LX8) of NFeoB. Each position in the DDM corresponds to a pair of residues in NFeoB. If a conformational change is present between a pair of residues in 3LX5 and 3LX8, a difference distance larger than zero results. The magnitude of such difference distances is visualised by a color scale ranging from dark violet (no change) to yellow (largest change, here 22.5 Å). The white circles mark the pair of residues that was used for the experiments below (N32R1-R127R1 (BL21 numbering)). Note that the DDM is symmetric along its diagonal. The grey areas indicate residues that were not modelled in one of the structures. The switch I region is indicated.
Table 1: Data collection and refinement statistics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data collection</th>
<th>NFeoB</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wavelength (Å)</td>
<td>0.9085</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resolution range (Å)</td>
<td>48.12 - 3.16 (3.272 - 3.16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Space group</td>
<td>P 6₃</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unit cell</td>
<td>166.7 166.7 65.6 90 90 120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total reflections</td>
<td>135770 (12380)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unique reflections</td>
<td>18052 (1753)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiplicity</td>
<td>7.5 (7.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completeness (%)</td>
<td>99.6 (96.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean I/σ(I)</td>
<td>9.11 (1.39)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wilson B-factor</td>
<td>71.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R-merge</td>
<td>0.2328 (1.384)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R-meas</td>
<td>0.2501</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CC1/2</td>
<td>0.992 (0.362)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CC*</td>
<td>0.998 (0.729)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Refinement</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>R-work</td>
<td>0.207 (0.341)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R-free</td>
<td>0.238 (0.360)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of non-hydrogen atoms</td>
<td>3886</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein residues</td>
<td>509</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMS(bonds)</td>
<td>0.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMS(angles)</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ramachandran favored (%)</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ramachandran outliers (%)</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clashscore</td>
<td>7.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Molprobity Score</td>
<td>1.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average B-factor</td>
<td>75.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values in parentheses represent values for the shell of highest resolution.

PELDOR studies on the switch I region of NFeoB

The way in which GTP/GDP regulates the transport activity of FeoB is unknown. Presumably, binding of the cofactor induces conformational changes, triggering the gating mechanism of FeoB. It has also been suggested that GTP hydrolysis may be used to power an active transport mechanism (26). In order to analyse the conformational changes in NFeoB upon GTP binding, a difference distance matrix (DDM) between nucleotide-free and bound structures (26) was calculated using the program mtsslWizard (22, 27). Figure 1D illustrates that the largest conformational changes upon nucleotide binding occur in the switch I region (residues 25-40), directly adjacent to the GTP-binding pocket. A large conformational change of ~20 Å occurs between the Cβ atoms of residues N32 and K127 (Figure 1CD). Pulsed Electron-Electron Double Resonance (PELDOR or DEER) distance measurements in combination with site-
directed spin labelling (28) are a possible way to determine, whether this conformational change also occurs in solution. In both crystal structures (closed and open), the two residues are located at the molecular surface and are therefore well suited for the introduction of spin labels. For the site-specific incorporation of the two labels at these sites, the five indigenous cysteines of NFeoB were removed and two new cysteines were introduced at positions N32 and K127. The modified protein (NFeoBΔCys N32C K127C) expressed at similar levels as the wild-type protein and was capable of binding GTP (Supplementary Figure 1). The circular dichroism (CD) spectrum of the mutant was virtually identical to that of wild-type NFeoB (Supplementary Figure 2). MTSSL (29) spin labels were introduced and the N32R1-K127R1 distance (MTSSL modified Cys are commonly termed R1) was determined for the apo protein using Q-band PELDOR spectroscopy at a protein concentration of 25 µM (Figure 2A, black line). Note that equimolar (with respect to the cofactor) amounts of MgCl₂ were included in all measurements presented below. The resulting PELDOR time trace showed a modulation depth of 40 % as commonly found for a doubly spin labelled protein (30). The DeerAnalysis software package was used to calculate the underlying distance distribution (Figure 2B, black line) (21). An unimodal distance distribution with an average distance of ~40 Å and a distribution width of ~12 Å was observed.

![Figure 2: PELDOR spectroscopy on the switch I region of NFeoB. A) Q-band PELDOR time traces for NFeoB measured under different conditions, as indicated in the legend. The datasets were processed with the "series" option of DeerAnalysis. B) Distance distributions from the time traces in A), as calculated by DeerAnalysis (21). MtsslWizard predictions for the open- (green shape) and closed (red shape) NFeoB structures are shown.](image)

In comparison, mtsslWizard predicts the distance distributions that are shown as green and red shapes in Figure 3B for the open and closed states of the N32R1-K127R1 mutant, respectively. Considering the error of in silico spin labelling programs of ±3 Å (22, 31, 32), the prediction
made by mtsslWizard for the open state provides a good fit to the experimental distance distribution. Therefore, it seems safe to assume that apo-NFeoB adopts the open conformation in solution. Addition of GTP or GDP (500 µM, 20-fold molar excess) led only to small changes in the width of the distribution and an increase of a very small peak at 20 Å that might correspond to the closed form of the switch I loop (Figure 2B). A slightly stronger increase of the 20 Å peak was observed for the non-hydrolysable GTP analog GMPPNP at 100-fold molar excess (Figure 2AB, purple curves). It has been shown that potassium ions can strongly accelerate the GTPase activity of NFeoB (5). To test if KCl has an influence on the conformation of the switch I loop, a PELDOR experiment with 100-fold molar excess of GMPPNP and 100 mM KCl was performed. However, the resulting PELDOR time trace and corresponding distance distribution did not differ significantly from the one recorded without KCl (purple and red curves in Figure 2AB). To exclude that removal of the cysteines or the addition of the spin label induced an artificial “open-locked state” of the switch I region in NFeoBcys N32R1 K127R1, its activity was analysed using a malachite-green based GTP hydrolysis assay. The results shown in Figure 3 confirm that the NFeoBcys N32R1 K127R1 mutant has the same activity as the wild-type protein. Also, the activation by KCl was observed for both wild-type and mutant NFeoB.

![Figure 3: GTPase activity of NFeoB constructs](image)

**Figure 3: GTPase activity of NFeoB constructs.** Error bars indicate the standard deviation calculated from a triplicate of measurements.
Oligomeric state of FeoB analysed by PELDOR spectroscopy

Although NFeoB commonly crystallises as a trimer (Figure 1B), it is to date unclear, whether this crystallographically observed trimer is of any functional relevance. To investigate the solution structures of NFeoB and full-length FeoB with PELDOR spectroscopy, two solvent accessible labelling sites K127 and R152 were selected based on the structure of the NFeoB trimer (Figure 1B). The unnatural amino acid para-acetylphenylalanin (pAcF) was introduced at position 127 of NFeoB and 127 or 152 of full-length FeoB. The pAcF residue was then labelled with HO4120, producing the K1 spin label (33, 34). This strategy was used because full-length FeoB from *E. coli* BL21 contains 12 cysteines, which would all have to be replaced before site-directed spin labelling with R1 could have been applied. On average, the efficiency of the labelling procedure was 52 ± 15%.

Figure 4: PELDOR experiments on spin labelled FeoB constructs. A) PELDOR time traces of singly spin labelled NFeoB K127K1 at 25 µM and 516 µM protein concentration. The experimental data are shown as black lines, the fitted background functions as red lines. B) The distance distribution for the 516 µM NFeoB sample produced by DeerAnalysis is shown as a black line. The predicted distance distribution for an NFeoB K127K1 trimer (Figure 1B) is shown as a green shade. C) Background corrected PELDOR time trace of singly spin labelled full length FeoB K127K1 (black: experiment, red: fit). D) The distance distribution for the FeoB K127K1 sample is shown as a black line. The predicted distance distribution for an NFeoB K127K1 trimer (Figure 1B) is shown as a green shade. E) Background corrected PELDOR time...
trace of singly spin labelled full length FeoB R152K1 (black: experiment, red: fit; grey:
experiment in CHAPSO/DMPC bicelles). F) The distance distribution for the FeoB R152K1
sample (in DDM) is shown as a black line. The predicted distance distribution for an NFeoB
R152K1 trimer (Figure 1B) is shown as a green shade. G) Background corrected PELDOR time
trace of a doubly labelled NFeoB K127K1 R152K1 sample (black: experiment, red: fit). H) Plots of $\mathcal{A}_{\text{expected}}$ over % labelling efficiency for bi- and triradicals (blue and red curves, respectively). The expected modulation depth was calculated using the formula $\mathcal{A}_{\text{expected}} = 1 - (1 - a^* \mathcal{A})^{(n-1)}$. The formula is based on (30). Here, the $\mathcal{A}$ parameter was scaled by the labelling
efficiency $a^*$, which runs from 0 to 1. The labelling efficiencies and modulation depths for the
samples shown in panels C, E and G are plotted as indicated in the legend. The green curve
represents $\mathcal{A}_{\text{expected}}$ for a (8.5:1:0.5) mixture of monomers:dimers:trimers as determined by
LILBID mass spectrometry (see below). The curve was calculated according to (30).

To investigate if PELDOR spectroscopy can provide evidence for the crystallographic NFeoB
trimer in solution, a sample with 25 µM NFeoB K127K1 was prepared. The resulting PELDOR
time trace could be fitted with a homogenous 3-dimensional background (Figure 4A). In
contrast, increasing the NFeoB K127K1 concentration to 516 µM, resulted in a PELDOR time
trace that could not be completely fitted with a 3-dimensional background function (Figure 4A).
Dividing the 516 µM time trace by a homogenous 3D-background left a modulation depth of
~ 0.05. Analysing this with DeerAnalysis yielded a very broad distance distribution ($\mathcal{A} = 45$ Å)
centred around 40 Å (Figure 4B) (21). It appears that at this relatively high concentration, the
NFeoB K127K1 monomers are indeed physically interacting with each other. For the
crystallographic trimer (Figure 1B), the mtsslWizard software (22) predicts a distance
distribution centred at 25 Å (Figure 4B, green shade). The experimental distribution indeed
contains such distances but is much broader. Thus, the experimentally observed interactions
seems to be rather unspecific.

It is possible that the transmembrane domain of FeoB is needed to stabilise the trimeric
arrangement in solution. Therefore, as a next step, PELDOR experiments on n-dodecyl-β-D-
maltoside (DDM) solubilised full-length FeoB K127K1 and FeoB K152K1 were conducted.
Both mutants yielded time traces that clearly deviated from a homogenous 3D background.
Dividing this background left an intra-oligomer contribution with a modulation depth of 0.03
and 0.05 for mutants K127K1 and R152K1, respectively (Figure 4CE). It is well established
that information about the number of interacting spins in a particular sample is encoded in the
modulation depth of the PELDOR time trace (30). For example, assuming a well optimized
PELDOR experiment at Q-band, a modulation depth of 0.4 is expected for a biradical and 0.64
for a triradical (30, 35). However, the modulation depth is also strongly dependent on the
labelling degree, which can vary significantly between different samples. We therefore
determined the labelling degree for each particular PELDOR sample after the PELDOR
experiment had been completed. As a control experiment, a pair of K1 labels were introduced into NFeoB at positions K127 and R152. The labelling efficiency of this sample was determined (52 %) and a PELDOR time trace was recorded, revealing a modulation depth of 0.14 (Figure 4G). This value fits reasonably well to the expected modulation depth for a biradical at 52 % labelling efficiency (Figure 4H). Next, the labelling efficiencies of the actual full-length FeoB K127K1 and R152K1 PELDOR samples were determined (73 % and 32 % labelling efficiency, respectively). For both samples, Figure 4H reveals a stark difference between the experimentally observed modulation depths and the expected modulation depths for a triradical. To verify that the detergent environment does not disturb the oligomeric state of FeoB, the FeoBR152K1 sample was reconstituted in CHAPSO/DMPC bicelles. The resulting PELDOR time trace was very similar to the DDM sample and if anything, revealed an even lower modulation depth (Figure 4E). For both FeoB K127K1 and R152K1, data processing with DeerAnalysis led to broad distance distributions centred around 18 Å (Figure 4DF). Minor features at distances predicted for the crystallographic trimer were observed (The trimer structure shown in Figure 1B was used to predict distances with mtsslWizard). It should be noted that due to the very low modulation depths and the absence of prominent oscillations in the time traces, the features of the produced distance distributions should be interpreted with care. Summing up, the PELDOR results do not agree well with a defined trimeric state of full-length FeoB in detergent solutions. However, small amounts of multimers that are perhaps functionally relevant, are clearly present in our samples.

Oligomeric state of FeoB analysed by LILBID mass spectrometry and negative stain EM

LILBID mass spectrometry was used to investigate the oligomerisation state of FeoB with an orthogonal method. LILBID-MS can determine native masses of non-covalently bound macromolecular complexes (e.g. oligomers of a protein) in-dodecyl-β-D-maltoside solutions (36). For a particular sample that contains a distribution of oligomeric states of the same protein, the LILBID-MS mass spectrum can be quantitatively analysed. Full-length FeoB (wild-type) at a concentration of 150 µM was subjected to the analysis and the resulting mass spectrum is shown in Figure 5A.
Figure 5: LILBID mass spectrometry and negative stain EM size distribution of full length FeoB samples. A) The mass spectrum is shown as a black line. Major peaks are indicated by \( M^- \), \( D^- \), \( T^- \) for monomer, dimer and trimer respectively. Numbers in superscript indicate the charge state of the particles. B) Particle size distribution determined from negative stain EM micrographs of full-length FeoB. A representative raw micrograph is shown in Supplementary Figure 3. The inset illustrates the size of full-length FeoB in comparison to the crystal structure of NFeoB, which has a diameter of up to ~6 nm.

The LILBID-MS spectrum in Figure 5A was analysed using the Massin software package (25) and showed strong peaks at the expected masses for the singly and doubly charged species of the FeoB monomer (85 kDa, red \( M^- \) in Figure 5). Note that the broadness of the peaks is due to the detergent micelle. Clear but less intense peaks were also identified for dimeric FeoB (Figure 5, green \( D^- \)) and weak evidence for a trimeric species was found (Figure 5, blue \( T^- \)).

To cross-validate the LILBID-MS and PELDOR results, the relative ratios of the three species were estimated (~8.5:1:0.5) and the expected PELDOR modulation depth of such a mixture was calculated for different labelling efficiencies (30). The predicted modulation depths fit very well to the PELDOR results (Figure 4H, green curve). As an additional control, we recorded negative stain EM micrographs of our FeoB preparations and determined a size distribution of the observed particles (Figure 5B, Supplementary Figure 3). The most abundant particles have a size of 8-10 nm and may be interpreted as a side-on view of a FeoB monomer. We neither observed a significant number of symmetrical particles, nor a significant amount of larger dimension particles as would be expected for a sample consisting of a defined trimeric species.
Discussion

Crystal structures of NFeoB have revealed the opened- and closed-states of the switch-I region (5, 6). A detailed biochemical study showed that the GTPase activity of NFeoB increases 20-fold when K$^+$ ions are added to the reaction buffer. This effect was attributed to a coordination of the K$^+$ ion by both the nucleotide and the switch-I loop (5). The PELDOR results above (Figure 2) confirm that also in solution, the switch-I loop can indeed occupy either the open- or closed position. However, it is surprising that even with the addition of 100x excesses of GMPPNP, Mg$^{2+}$ and K$^+$, only a small fraction of the molecules (~5%) are observed in the closed state. It is of course possible that the addition of the spin label leads to an artificial stabilisation of the switch-I loop in the opened state. However, the observed equal activities of wild-type and spin-labelled protein, together with an equally strong activation by K$^+$ ions seem to contradict this notion (Figure 3). Assuming that the spin labels do not distort the dynamics of the switch I loop, one could speculate that in the full-length protein, the closing of the switch-I loop induces a conformational change of the whole channel, which also leads to its own stabilisation in the closed conformation. Clearly, this hypothesis needs to be proven by further experiments.

It is a commonly met question, whether or not a crystallographically observed multimer is of any functional relevance. Different theoretical and experimental methods can be applied to solve this question. The PISA server (37) analyses crystal-packing interactions to predict the most probable oligomeric state of the protein in solution. In the case of NFeoB (Figure 1), the server does not give a conclusive answer, because different results are obtained for different NFeoB input structures. Probably the most straightforward experimental way to analyse the oligomeric state of a protein construct are gelfiltration experiments. We and others have previously performed this experiment for NFeoB and no indication of a multimeric state has been observed for wild-type NFeoB constructs (8, 11). However, since NFeoB lacks a large proportion of the full-length protein (Figure 1A), the multimer might be destabilised and only forms at high concentrations. Gelfiltration is not the method of choice for such weak complexes, because the different species are separated and significantly diluted during the gelfiltration run. PELDOR distance measurements have been shown to be a suitable method to validate crystallographic multimers in solution (38). Here, PELDOR spectroscopy experiments on singly spin labelled NFeoB revealed that the protein is monomeric at low concentrations (25 µM) but that small amounts of oligomers (~ 5 %) are formed at higher concentrations (516 µM, Figure 4A). An analysis of the 516 µM PELDOR time trace with DeerAnalysis (21) revealed a very broad distribution of inter-spin distances (Figure 4B). Indeed, the experimental...
distribution contains the distances that are predicted from the crystallographic trimer (Figure 1B, 4B). However the trimer only explains a small fraction of the experimental distance distribution. This may be interpreted as a rather unspecific interaction of the NFeoB monomers in the PELDOR sample. Apparently, the nascent crystal lattice selectively incorporates the observed crystallographic trimer.

It is possible that the transmembrane domain of FeoB is needed to stabilise a trimeric structure of the channel in solution. In an earlier study we have conducted gelfiltration experiments on DDM solubilised full-length FeoB (11). Judged by its elution volume (Superdex 200 column), the protein appeared to form multimers. However, as already discussed at the time (11), due to the presence of the detergent micelle and the sensitivity of this sizing method to the overall shape of the protein:detergent complex, size determinations of membrane proteins by gelfiltrations are error prone. To get a more precise insight into the solution structure of FeoB, PELDOR experiments on singly spin labelled full-length FeoB mutants were performed in this work (Figure 4). PELDOR is not affected by the size of the detergent micelle or the shape of the molecule. Also, it is well established that the modulation depths of the PELDOR time traces contain information about the oligomeric state of the underlying spin system (30). Taking the labelling degree of the PELDOR samples carefully into account, neither the observed modulation depths nor the calculated PELDOR distance distributions agree well with a defined trimeric state of the FeoB channel in detergent solution or in the artificial membrane environment of CHAPSO/DMPC bicelles (Figure 4). The LILBID-MS and negative-stain EM results presented above confirm the PELDOR results (Figure 5). LILBID-MS revealed a distribution of monomers:dimers:trimers with an estimated ratio of ~8.5:1:0.5. The expected PELDOR modulation depth for such a mixture was calculated and fits very well to the experimentally observed values (Figure 4H). Further, a recent atomic force microscopy study on FeoB from *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* revealed a large amount of monomers in FeoB samples, whereas peaks interpreted as trimers and hexamers were found to a smaller extend (39). Taken together, it appears that both NFeoB and full-length FeoB are mostly monomeric in solution, while oligomers are formed to a small extend. This explains the earlier observation that the rotational correlation time of FeoB K127K1 determined by cw-X-band EPR spectroscopy is larger than expected for the monomeric protein (11). Note that we cannot exclude that the functionally relevant form of the FeoB channel is found among the small percentage of observed oligomers. An equilibrium between the different states might even be important for the function of FeoB. Until a crystal structure of the complete channel has been
solved and the nature of the transport pore tested e.g. by mutational analysis, it will remain very
difficult to unravel the biologically relevant structure of this channel.
Conclusion

The PELDOR results on the switch I region of NFoB provide strong evidence that the crystallographically observed open and closed forms of the switch I region also exist in solution. Surprisingly, the closed form is only formed to a small percentage, even at high concentrations of GMPPNP. The PELDOR, LILBID-MS and EM results on full-length FoB do not support the crystallographic trimer as the predominant structure of the FeoB channel in detergent or CHAPSO/DMPC bicelles. However, small amounts of FeoB oligomers were clearly observed and it cannot be excluded that the functional form of the channel can be found among these multimers.
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Supplementary Figure 1: Anion exchange chromatography of NFeoBΔCys N32C,K127C. The blue trace shows the absorption at 280 nm and the red trace the absorption at 260 nm. The green line shows the NaCl gradient. The elution buffer contained 1M NaCl, i.e. 100% elution buffer corresponds to a NaCl concentration of 1M. It is clearly visible that the protein (high absorption at 280 nm) elutes at much lower NaCl concentrations than the copurified nucleotide (GTP or GDP, high absorption at 260 nm).
Supplementary Figure 2: Circular dichroism (CD) spectra of NFeoB wt (red) and R1 labelled NFeoB ΔCys N32R1 K127R1 (green).
Supplementary Figure 3: Representative negative-stain EM micrograph of DDM solubilised full-length FeoB.
Supplementary Figure 4: Room temperature X-band cw-EPR spectra of K1 labelled PELDOR samples.